arXiv:1412.0254v2 [math.GT] 19 Jan 2015

NOTES ON THE KNOT CONCORDANCE INVARIANT UPSILON

CHARLES LIVINGSTON

Abstract. Ozsváth, Stipsicz, and Szabó have defined a knot concordance invariant Υ_K taking values in the group of piecewise linear functions on the closed interval $[0,2]$. This paper presents a description of one approach to defining Υ_K and of proving its basic properties.

1. Introduction

In [8], Ozsváth, Stipsicz, and Szabó use the Heegaard Floer knot complex $CFK^\infty(K)$ of a knot $K \subset S^3$ to define a piecewise linear function $\Upsilon_K(t)$ with domain $[0,2]$. The function $K \to \Upsilon_K$ induces a homomorphism from the smooth knot concordance group to the group of functions on the interval $[0,2]$. Among its properties, $\Upsilon_K(t)$ provides bounds on the four-genus, $g_4(K)$, the three-genus, $g_3(K)$, and, consequently, the concordance genus, $g_c(K)$.

This note describes one approach to defining $\Upsilon_K(t)$ and proving its basic properties. Thanks go to Matt Hedden, Jen Hom, Slaven Jabuka, Swatee Naik, Shida Wang, and C.-M. Michael Wong for their assistance.

2. Knot Complexes

The Heegaard Floer knot complex of a knot K, denoted $CFK^\infty(K)$, is a free, finitely generated $\mathbb{F}[U,U^{-1}]$–module, where $\mathbb{F}$ is the field of two elements. It has two filtrations, called the *algebraic* and *Alexander* filtrations. It is also graded; the grading is called the *Maslov* or *homological* grading. The filtrations are compatible with the boundary map, which lowers the Maslov grading by one. The action of U lowers filtration levels by one and lowers the Maslov grading by two. The homology of $CFK^\infty(K)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}[U,U^{-1}]$ as a module, with $1 \in \mathbb{F}[U,U^{-1}]$ at grading 0. It follows that U^n is at grading $-2n$. The minimal algebraic filtration levels of a cycle representing the grading 0 generator is 0, as is the minimal Alexander filtration level. The complex is well-defined up to bifiltered chain homotopy equivalence. Since $CFK^\infty(K)$ is, as an unfiltered complex, the Heegaard Floer complex of S^3, we write its homology group as $HF^\infty(S^3)$.

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of the complex for the torus knot $T(3,7)$. The complex has nine filtered generators, with algebraic and Alexander filtration levels indicated by the first and second coordinate, respectively. Five of the generators, indicated with black dots, have Maslov grading 0; the four white dots represent generators of Maslov grading one. The boundary map is indicated by the arrows. The rest of $CFK^\infty(K)$ consists of the U^n translates of this finite complex; for instance, applying U shifts the diagram one down and to the left.

3. Filtrations

Definition 3.1. A *real-valued (discrete) filtration* on a vector space C is a collection of subspaces $\mathcal{F} = \{C_s\}$ indexed by the real numbers. This collection must satisfy the following properties:

The author was supported by a Simons Foundation grant.

(1) $C_{s_1} \subseteq C_{s_2}$ if $s_1 \leq s_2$.
(2) $C = \cup_{s\in\mathbb{R}} C_s$.
(3) $\cap_{s\in\mathbb{R}} C_s = \{0\}$.
(4) (*discreteness*) C_{s_2}/C_{s_1} is finite dimensional when $s_1 \leq s_2$.

Given a discrete filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{C_s\}$ on C, we can define an associated function on C, which we temporarily also denote by $\mathcal{F}$, given by $\mathcal{F}(x) = \min\{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \in C_s\}$. Notice that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}((-\infty, s]) = C_s$.

Given an arbitrary real-valued function f on C, one can define an associated filtration with $C_s = \mathrm{Span}(f^{-1}((-\infty, s]))$. The resulting filtration need not be discrete.

Notation In cases in which more than one filtration might be under consideration, we will write $(C, \mathcal{F})_s$ rather than C_s.

Definition 3.2. A set of vectors $\{x_i\}$ in the real filtered vector space C is called a *filtered basis* if it is linearly independent and every C_s has some subset of $\{x_i\}$ as a basis.

4. The definition of the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ on $CFK^\infty(K)$.

For any $t \in [0, 2]$, the convex combination of Alexander and algebraic filtrations, $\frac{t}{2}Alex + (1 - \frac{t}{2})Alg$, defines a real-valued function on $C(K)$, to which we associate a filtration denoted $\mathcal{F}_t$. That is, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $(C(K), \mathcal{F}_t)_s$ is spanned by all vectors $x \in C(K)$ such that $\frac{t}{2}Alex(x) + (1 - \frac{t}{2})Alg(x) \leq s$.

Theorem 4.1. *If $0 \leq t \leq 2$, the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ on $CFK^\infty(K)$ is a filtration by subcomplexes and is discrete.*

Proof. To see that these are subcomplexes, suppose that $x \in (C, \mathcal{F}_t)_s$. Write $x = \sum x_i$ where $\frac{t}{2}Alex(x_i) + (1 - \frac{t}{2})Alg(x_i) \leq s$ for all i. Since $\partial x = \sum \partial x_i$, we only need to check that for each i, $\partial x_i \in (C, \mathcal{F}_t)_s$. Let x_i have $Alex(x_i) = a$ and $Alg(x_i) = b$. Then $Alex(\partial x_i) = a' \leq a$ and $Alg(\partial x_i) = b' \leq b$. Since both $\frac{t}{2}$ and $(1 - \frac{t}{2})$ are nonnegative, $\frac{t}{2}a' + (1 - \frac{t}{2})b' \leq \frac{t}{2}a + (1 - \frac{t}{2})b \leq s$, as desired.

The discreteness of the filtration depends on two properties of $CFK^\infty(K)$. First, for the three-genus $g = g_3(K)$, one has $-g \leq Alex(x) - Alg(x) \leq g$ for all x. From this it follows that



FIGURE 1. $HFK^\infty(T(3, 7))$

for given $s_1 < s_2$, there are k_1 and k_2 such that

$$(C, Alex)_{k_1} \subseteq (C, \mathcal{F}_t)_{s_1} \subseteq (C, \mathcal{F}_t)_{s_2} \subseteq (C, Alex)_{k_2}.$$

(With care, one can show that $k_1 = s_1 - (1-\frac{t}{2})g$ and $k_2 = s_2 + (1-\frac{t}{2})g$.) Second, the Alexander filtration is discrete, so the quotient $(C, Alex)_{k_2}/(C, Alex)_{k_1}$ is finite dimensional.

□

5. The definition of $\Upsilon_K(t)$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be any discrete real filtration on $C = CFK^\infty(K)$ satisfying the additional property that $C_s \subset C$ is subcomplex for all s.

Definition 5.1. Let $\nu(C, \mathcal{F}) = \min\{s \mid \text{Image } (H(C_s) \to H(C)) \text{ contains a nontrivial element of grading } 0\}$.

Definition 5.2. $\Upsilon_K(t) = -2\nu(C, \mathcal{F}_t)$.

5.1. **Example.** Consider the knot $K = T(3,7)$ with $CFK^\infty(K)$ as illustrated in Figure 1. The portion of the complex shown has homology $\mathbb{F}$, at Maslov grading 0.

We use the notation C^t to denote the complex $CFK^\infty(K)$ with filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$. The set C^t_s is generated by the bifiltered generators with Alexander and algebraic filtration levels satisfying

$$Alex \leq \frac{2}{t}s + (1 - \frac{2}{t})Alg. \tag{5.1}$$

Observation The lattice points which contain a filtered generator at filtration level t all lie on a line of slope

$$m = 1 - \frac{2}{t},$$

with lattice points parametrized by the pair $(Alg, Alex)$. Alternatively, if a line of slope m contain distinct lattice points representing bifiltration levels of generators at the same $\mathcal{F}_t$ filtration level, then

$$t = \frac{2}{1-m}.$$

In the diagram for $T(3,7)$, the illustrated line in the plane corresponds to $t = \frac{4}{5}$ and $s = 2$. Since the lower half plane bounded by this line contains a generator of $H(C)$ of grading level 0, while no half plane bounded by a parallel line with smaller value of s contains such a generator, we have $\Upsilon_K(\frac{4}{5}) = -2(2) = -4$.

Continuing with $K = T(3,7)$, it is now clear that for $m < -2$ (that is, for $t < \frac{2}{3}$), the least s for which C^t_s contains a generator of $H(C(K))$ corresponds to the line through $(0, 6)$, which has filtration level $\frac{t}{2}6 + (1 - \frac{t}{2})0 = 3t$.

For $-2 < m < -1$ (that is, for $\frac{2}{3} < t < 1$), the least s for which C^t_s contains a generator of $H_0(C)$ corresponds to the line through $(2, 2)$, which has filtration level $\frac{t}{2}2 + (1 - \frac{t}{2})2 = 2$. Multiplying by -2 and checking the value $t = \frac{2}{3}$ yields

$$\Upsilon_{T(3,7)}(t) = \begin{cases} -6t & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ -4 & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

6. Products and additivity

If $(C, \mathcal{F})$ and $(C', \mathcal{F}')$ are two filtered complexes, there is a natural filtration $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}'$ on $C \otimes C'$, defined via:

$$(C \otimes C')_s = \text{Image}(\oplus_{s_1+s_2=s} C_{s_1} \otimes C'_{s_2} \to C \otimes C').$$

Notice that the direct sum is infinite.

The following is basically from [5], in which the τ-invariant is shown to be additive.

Theorem 6.1. $\nu(C \otimes C', \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}') = \nu(C, \mathcal{F}) + \nu(C', \mathcal{F}')$.

Proof. The image of the map $H((C \otimes C')_s) \to H(C \otimes C')$ contains a nontrivial element of grading 0 if and only if for some s_1 and s_2 with $s_1 + s_2 = s$ the image of the map $H(C_{s_1} \otimes C'_{s_2}) \to H(C \otimes C')$ contains such an element. By the Kunneth formula, this will be the case if and only if the image of

$$H(C_{s_1}) \otimes H(C'_{s_2}) \to H(C) \otimes H(C') \cong \mathbb{F}[U, U^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[U,U^{-1}]} \mathbb{F}[U, U^{-1}] \cong \mathbb{F}[U, U^{-1}]$$

contains such an element.

For this to be the case, there must be an r such that the image of $H(C_{s_1}) \to H(C)$ contains an element of grading r and the image of $H(C'_{s_2}) \to H(C')$ contains an element of grading $-r$. If this is the case, then the images of $H(C_{s_1-r/2}) \to H(C)$ and $H(C'_{s_2+r/2}) \to H(C')$ both contain elements of grading 0. Note that $s_1 + r/2 - s_2 - r/2 = s$.

Let $\nu = \nu(C, \mathcal{F})$ and $\nu' = \nu(C', \mathcal{F}')$. Since the images of $H(C_\nu) \to H(C)$ and $H(C'_{\nu'}) \to H(C')$ each contain elements of grading 0, $H(C_\nu) \otimes H(C'_{\nu'}) \to H(C) \otimes H(C')$ does also, and thus $\nu(C \otimes C', \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}') \leq \nu + \nu'$. If $\nu(C \otimes C', \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}') = \nu'' < \nu + \nu'$, then for some s_1 and s_2 with $s_1 + s_2 = \nu''$, $H(C_{s_1}) \otimes H(C'_{s_2}) \to H(C) \otimes H(C')$ contains an element of grading 0. But clearly either $s_1 < \nu$, in which case $H(C_{s_1}) \to H(C)$ would not contain an element of grading 0, or $H(C'_{s_2}) \to H(C')$ fails to contain such an element, yielding a contradiction.

□

Theorem 6.2. *For each* $t \in [0, 2]$, $\Upsilon_{K_1 \# K_2}(t) = \Upsilon_{K_1}(t) + \Upsilon_{K_2}(t)$.

Proof. According to [6], the complex $CFK^\infty(K_1 \# K_2)$ is bifiltered chain homotopy equivalent to $CFK^\infty(K_1) \otimes CFK^\infty(K_2)$. Suppose that $\{x_\alpha\}$ and $\{y_\beta\}$ are bifiltered generating sets for each. If x_α has bifiltration level (a, b) and y_β has bifiltration level (a', b'), then $x_\alpha \otimes y_\beta$ is a bifiltered generator of the tensor product with bifiltration level $(a + a', b + b')$. The $\mathcal{F}_t$ filtration levels of x_α and y_β are $\frac{t}{2}a + (1 - \frac{t}{2})b$ and $\frac{t}{2}a' + (1 - \frac{t}{2})b'$, respectively. The $\mathcal{F}_t$ filtration level of the tensor product is the sum of these two.

□

Stated in another way, the previous argument shows that $(CFK^\infty(K_1 \# K_2), \mathcal{F}_t)$ is filtered chain homotopy equivalent to $(CFK^\infty(K_1), \mathcal{F}_t) \otimes (CFK^\infty(K_2), \mathcal{F}_t)$.

Theorem 6.3. *For an arbitrary knot* K, $\Upsilon_{-K}(t) = -\Upsilon_K(t)$.

Proof. The complex $CFK^\infty(K)$ with filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ has a dual complex, $CFK^\infty(K)^*$ with (decreasing) filtration $\mathcal{F}_t^*$. The set of dual vectors z with $\mathcal{F}_t^*(z) \geq s$ are those that vanish on $CFK^\infty(K)_r$ for all $r < s$. One first proves that $\nu(K)$ can be defined as the maximal filtration level of a class in $CFK^\infty(K)^*$ which represents a nontrivial generator of (co)homology in grading 0. The proof is completed by applying the result of [6] that $(CFK^\infty(-K), \mathcal{F}) \simeq (CFK^\infty(K)^*, -\mathcal{F}^*)$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is either the algebraic or Alexander filtration. □

7. Basic properties of $\Upsilon_K(t)$ and $\Upsilon'_K(t)$.

We now present some basic results concerning $\Upsilon_K(t)$ and its derivative. An initial observation is that $\Upsilon_K(0) = 0$ and, since $CFK^\infty(K)$ is finitely generated, $\Upsilon_K(t)$ is continuous at 0. Thus, we focus on $t > 0$. We continue to abbreviate $CFK^\infty(K) = C$.

Theorem 7.1.

(1) *For every knot K, $\Upsilon(K)$ is a continuous piecewise linear function.*
(2) *At a nonsingular point of $\Upsilon'_K(t)$, the value of $|\Upsilon'_K(t)|$ is $|i - j|$, where (i, j) is the bifiltration level of some filtered generator of C with homological grading 0.*
(3) *Singularities in $\Upsilon'_K(t)$ can occur only at values of t such that some line of slope $1 - \frac{2}{t}$ contains at least two lattice points, (i, j) and (i', j'), each of which represents the algebraic and Alexander gradings of filtered generators of C of homological grading 0.*
(4) *If $\Upsilon'_K(t)$ has a singularity at t, then the jump in $\Upsilon'_K(t)$ at t, denoted $\Delta\Upsilon'_K(t)$, satisfies $|\Delta\Upsilon'_K(t)| = \frac{2}{t}|i-i'|$ for some pair (i, i') for which there are lattice points (i, j) and (i', j') as in the previous item.*

Proof. The proof is discussed in terms of the diagram of the complex, as illustrated for the knot $T(3, 7)$ in the previous section.

Suppose $\Upsilon_K(t) = -2s$ and there is precisely one lattice point (i, j) with $\frac{t}{2}j + (1 - \frac{t}{2})i = s$ which represents the bifiltration level of a filtered generator of $C(K)$. (This will be the case for all but a finite number of values of t.) For nearby t, say t', the value of $\Upsilon_K(t') = -2s'$ will be such that the same vertex (at (i, j)) lies on the line $\frac{t'}{2}j + (1 - \frac{t'}{2})i = s'$. That is, for all nearby values of t, the value of s is given by $\frac{t}{2}j + (1 - \frac{t}{2})i$. Written differently,

$$\Upsilon_K(t) = -2i + (i - j)t.$$

In particular, we see that $\Upsilon_K(t)$ is piecewise linear off a finite set.

Now consider a singular value of t, at which $\Upsilon_K(t) = -2s$ and there are two or more pairs (i, j) for which $\frac{t}{2}j + (1 - \frac{t}{2})i = s$. Notice that this line in the (i, j)–plane has slope $m = 1 - \frac{2}{t}$. For t' close to t and $t' < t$, we have

$$\Upsilon_K(t') = -2i + (i - j)t'$$

for one of those pairs (i, j). If t' is near t and $t' > t$, then

$$\Upsilon_K(t') = -2i' + (i' - j')t'$$

for another (or possibly the same) of these pairs, (i', j'). Notice that these are equal at t, giving the continuity of $\Upsilon_K(t)$.

We now see that a singularity of $\Upsilon_K(t)$ occurs if $(j - i) \neq (j' - i')$. With these observations, the proofs of (1), (2), and (3) are complete.

For (4), our computations have shown that the change in $\Upsilon'_K(t)$, denoted $\Delta\Upsilon'_K(t)$, is given by $\Delta\Upsilon'_K(t) = (j - j') - (i - i')$ for some appropriate (i, j) and (i', j'). Since both are assumed to lie on a line of slope $1 - \frac{2}{t}$, we have $j - j' = (1 - \frac{2}{t})(i - i')$, so

$$\Delta\Upsilon'_K(t) = (1 - \frac{2}{t})(i - i') - (i - i') = -\frac{2}{t}(i - i').$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

□

Corollary 7.2. *For any knot K and for $t = \frac{p}{q}$ with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$,*

$$\frac{t}{2}\Delta\Upsilon'_K(t) = kp,$$

where k is some integer if p is odd, or half-integer if p even.

Proof. By Theorem 7.1 (4), $|\frac{t}{2}\Delta\Upsilon'_K(t)| = |i - i'|$ for some pair of integers i and i', where there are two lattice points on a line of slope $m = 1 - \frac{2}{t}$. Thus, we want to constrain the possible differences between the first coordinates of such lattice points.

For $t = \frac{p}{q}$, $m = -\frac{2q-p}{p}$. Since $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, in reduced terms, this is either $m = -\frac{2q-p}{p}$ or $m = -\frac{q-(p/2)}{(p/2)}$ if p is odd or even, respectively. Two lattice points on such a line have first coordinates differing by a multiple of p or of $\frac{p}{2}$, if p is odd or even, respectively. The completes the proof.

□

8. The three-genus, $g_3(K)$.

Theorem 8.1. *For nonsingular points of $\Upsilon'_K(t)$, $|\Upsilon'_K(t)| \leq g_3(K)$.*

Proof. According to [7], if K is of genus g, then all elements of $CFK^\infty(K)$ have filtration level (i, j) where

$$-g \leq i - j \leq g.$$

It follows immediately from the second statement of Theorem 7.1 that $|\Upsilon'_K(t)| \leq g_3(K)$.

□

We also observe that the genus of K constrains the possible points of singularity of $\Upsilon'_K(t)$.

Theorem 8.2. *Suppose that $\Upsilon'_K(t)$ has a singularity at $t = \frac{p}{q}$, with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. Then:*

- *If p is odd, $q \leq g_3(K)$.*
- *If p is even, $q \leq 2g_3(K)$.*

Proof. Suppose that a line of slope $m = -\frac{a}{b}$, where $0 < b < a$ contains two distinct points of the form (i, j) with $|i - j| \leq g_3(K)$. It follows quickly that the genus bound implies

$$a \leq 2g_3(K) - b.$$

To express this in terms of t, suppose $t = \frac{p}{q}$ with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. Then

$$m = 1 - \frac{2}{t} = -\frac{2q - p}{p}.$$

If p is odd, then $\gcd(2q-p, p) = 1$. If p is even, say $p = 2k$, then $\gcd(2q-p, p) = \gcd(2q, p) = 2$ and $m = -\frac{q-k}{k}$, with q and k relatively prime.

In the first case, with p odd, we have $2q - p \leq 2g_3(K) - p$, so $q \leq g_3(K)$.

In the second case, with p even, we have $q - k \leq 2g_3(K) - k$, so $q \leq 2g_3(K)$. □

9. $\Upsilon_K(t)$ as a knot concordance invariant

If knots K_1 and K_2 are concordant, then there is an equality of d–invariants: $d(S^3_N(K_1), \mathfrak{s}_m) = d(S^3_N(K_2), \mathfrak{s}_m)$ for all $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $-\frac{N-1}{2} \leq m \leq \frac{N-1}{2}$. Here $S^3_N(K)$ denotes N surgery on K, d is the Heegaard Floer correction term, and $\mathfrak{s}_m$ is a Spin^c structure, with m given by a specific enumeration of Spin^c structures; all are described in [4]. (In the case that N is odd, this range of m includes all possible Spin^c structures.)

If N is large, then $d(S^3_N(K_1), \mathfrak{s}_0) = D(K) + S(N)$, where $D(K)$ is the largest grading of a class z in the homology of $CFK^\infty(K)_{\{i\leq 0, j\leq 0\}}$ for which $U^k z$ is nontrivial for all $k > 0$, and $S(N)$ is some rational function defined on the integers, independent of K.

In the case that K is slice, we see that the maximal grading $D(K) = D(u)$, where u is the unknot. This implies that for a slice knot K, $D(K) = 0$. We have a nesting of complexes

$$CFK^\infty(K)_{\{i\leq 0, j\leq 0\}} \subset (CFK^\infty(K), \mathcal{F}_t)_0.$$

Since $(0,0)$ is at $\mathcal{F}_t$ filtration level 0, it follows that $\nu(CFK^\infty(K), \mathcal{F}_t) \leq 0$; thus $\Upsilon_K(t) \geq 0$.

However, $-K$ is also slice, so $-\Upsilon_K(t) \geq 0$. It follows that $\Upsilon_K(t) = 0$. An additive invariant of knots that vanishes on slice knots is a concordance invariant.

10. The concordance-genus

The concordance-genus $g_c(K)$ of a knot K, defined in [3], is the minimal genus among all knots concordant to K. Since $\Upsilon_K(t)$ is a concordance invariant, the genus bounds in Section 8 apply to the concordance genus.

Theorem 10.1. *For all nonsingular points of $\Upsilon_K(t)$, $|\Upsilon'_K(t)| \leq g_c(K)$. The jumps in $\Upsilon'_K(t)$ occur at rational numbers $\frac{p}{q}$. For p odd, $q \leq g_c(K)$. If p is even, $\frac{q}{2} \leq g_c(K)$.*

11. Bounds on the four-genus, $g_4(K)$.

Let $CFK(K)_{0,m}$ denote the bifiltered subcomplex $CFK^\infty(K)_{\{i\leq 0, j\leq m\}}$. We let $\nu^-(K)$ denote the minimum value of m such that the homology of $CFK(K)_{0,m}$ contains a nontrivial grading 0 element of the homology of $CFK^\infty(K)$ (that is, in $HF^\infty(S^3)$), which we recall is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}[U, U^{-1}]$ with 1 at grading level 0. There is the following result of Hom and Wu [1], built from work of Rasmussen [9]. (In [1] the invariant ν^+ is described; the equivalence with ν^- is presented in [8].)

Proposition 11.1 (Proposition 2.4, [1])**.** *$\nu^- \leq g_4(K)$.*

Based on this, we show that $\Upsilon_K(t)$ provides a bound on $g_4(K)$.

Theorem 11.2. *For all $t \in [0,2]$, $|\Upsilon_K(t)| \leq t g_4(K)$.*

Proof. Since $(0,m)$ is at $\mathcal{F}_t$ filtration level $tm/2$, we have the containment

$$CFK(K)_{0,m} \subset CFK(K)^t_{tm/2}.$$

Since $CFK(K)_{0,\nu^-}$ contains an element of grading 0 in the homology of $CFK^\infty(K)$, so does $CFK(K)^t_{t\nu^-/2}$. Thus, $\nu(CFK^\infty(K), \mathcal{F}_t) \leq t\nu^-/2$. By the previous proposition, $\nu(CFK^\infty(K), \mathcal{F}_t) \leq tg_4(K)/2$.

Considering $-K$, we have $\nu(CFK^\infty(-K), \mathcal{F}_t) \leq tg_4(-K)/2$; it follows that $-\nu(CFK^\infty(K), \mathcal{F}_t) \leq tg_4(K)/2$. Combining these yield

$$|\nu(CFK^\infty(K), \mathcal{F}_t)| \leq tg_4(K)/2.$$

Multiplying by -2 yields the desired conclusion.

□

12. Crossing change bounds

Here we sketch a proof of Proposition 1.10 of [8]. The argument is essentially the same as used in [2] to prove the corresponding fact about $\tau(K)$.

Theorem 12.1. *Let K_- and K_+ be knots with identical diagrams, except at one crossing which is either negative or positive, respectively. Then for $t \in [0,1]$,*

$$\Upsilon_{K_+}(t) \leq \Upsilon_{K_-}(t) \leq \Upsilon_{K_+}(t) + t.$$

Proof. First note that $K_- \# -K_+$ can be changed into the slice knot $K_+ \# -K_+$ by changing a negative crossing to positive. Thus, $g_4(K_- \# -K_+) \leq 1$. It follows that

$$-t \leq \Upsilon_{K_-}(t) - \Upsilon_{K_+}(t) \leq t. \tag{12.1}$$

Next note that $K_- \# -K_+ \# T(2,3)$ can be changed into the slice knot $K_+ \# -K_+$ by changing one negative crossing to positive and one positive crossing to negative. Thus, it too has four-genus at most 1: it bounds a singular disk with two singularities of opposite sign, and these can be tubed together. A simple computation for $T(2,3)$ yields $\Upsilon_{T(2,3)}(t) = -t$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Thus,

$$-t \leq \Upsilon_{K_-}(t) - \Upsilon_{K_+}(t) - t \leq t,$$

which we rewrite as

$$0 \leq \Upsilon_{K_-}(t) - \Upsilon_{K_+}(t) \leq 2t. \tag{12.2}$$

Combining Equations 12.1 and 12.2,

$$0 \leq \Upsilon_{K_-}(t) - \Upsilon_{K_+}(t) \leq t.$$

Adding $\Upsilon_{K_+}(t)$ to all terms yields the desired conclusion,

$$\Upsilon_{K_+}(t) \leq \Upsilon_{K_-}(t) \leq \Upsilon_{K_+}(t) + t.$$

□

Note This argument can be easily modified to show that if there is a singular concordance from K to J with a single positive double point, then $\Upsilon_K(t) \leq \Upsilon_J(t) \leq \Upsilon_K(t) + t$.

13. The Ozsváth-Szabó τ-invariant and $\Upsilon_K(t)$ for small t

For small t, $\Upsilon_K(t)$ is determined by the τ invariant defined in [7]. We review the definition below. Here is the statement of the result.

Theorem 13.1. *For t small, $\Upsilon_K(t) = -\tau(K)t$.*

The quotient complex $CFK(K)_{\{i\leq 0\}}/\, CFK(K)_{\{i<0\}}$ is denoted $\widehat{CFK}(K)$. It is filtered by the Alexander filtration and has homology $\mathbb{F}$, supported in grading 0. The invariant $\tau(K)$ is defined to be the least integer i such that the map on homology $H(\widehat{CFK}(K)_{\{j\leq i\}}) \to H(\widehat{CFK}(K)) \cong \mathbb{F}$ is surjective.

We wish to relate $\tau(K) = \tau$ to an invariant of $CFK^\infty(K)$. The needed technical result is the following.

Lemma 13.2. *If $\tau(K) = \tau$, then there is a cycle $w \in CFK^\infty(K)_{\{i\leq 0, j\leq \tau\}\cup\{i<0\}}$ representing an element in $H(CFK^\infty(K))$ of grading 0.*

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram joining short exact sequences. The vertical maps are obtained by quotienting by $CFK^\infty(K)_{\{i<0\}}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
CFK^\infty(K)_{\{i\le 0, j\le\tau\}\cup\{i<0\}} & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & CFK^\infty(K)_{\{i\le 0\}} & \xrightarrow{q_1} & \widehat{CFK}(K)/\widehat{CFK}(K)_{j\le\tau} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} \\
\widehat{CFK}(K)_{\{j\le\tau\}} & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} & \widehat{CFK}(K) & \xrightarrow{q_2} & \widehat{CFK}(K)/\widehat{CFK}(K)_{\{j\le\tau\}}
\end{array}$$

The complex at the top right corner is naturally isomorphic to the cokernel of ρ_1.

Considering the associated long exact sequences and using notation that is chosen to reflect that used on the chain level, we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
HFK^\infty(K)_{\{i\le 0, j\le\tau\}\cup\{i<0\}} & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & HFK^\infty(K)_{\{i\le 0\}} & \xrightarrow{q_1} & \widehat{HFK}(K)^+_\tau \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} \\
\widehat{HFK}(K)_{\{j\le\tau\}} & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} & \widehat{HFK}(K) & \xrightarrow{q_2} & \widehat{HFK}(K)^+_\tau
\end{array}$$

From the definition of τ, there is an element $x \in \widehat{HFK}(K)_{\{j\le\tau\}}$ that maps under ρ_2 to a generator y of $\widehat{HFK}(K) \cong \mathbb{F}$. The map Φ_2 is the quotient map of $\mathbb{F}[U]$-modules, $\mathbb{F}[U] \to F[U]/U\mathbb{F}[U] \cong \mathbb{F}$, and thus is surjective. Choose a $z \in HFK^\infty(K)_{\{i\le 0\}}$ such that $\Phi(z) = y = \rho_2(x)$. Then $q_1(z) = 0$, so there is a $w \in HFK^\infty(K)_{\{i\le 0, j\le\tau\}\cup\{i<0\}}$ such that $\Phi_2(\rho_1(w)) = x$.

□

Proof, Theorem 13.1. For t small we consider the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t$ and the filtration level $s = \frac{t}{2}\tau$. Then one has $CFK^\infty(K)_s = CFK^\infty(K)_{\{i\le 0, j\le\tau\}\cup\{i<0\}}$. By Lemma 13.2, this subcomplex contains a cycle that represents an element of grading 0 in $H(CFK^\infty(K))$. Thus, for this $\mathcal{F}_t$ filtration, $\nu \le \tau/2$.

On the other hand, suppose that $\nu < \tau/2$. Then there would exist a cycle

$$z \in CFK^\infty(K)_{\{i\le 0, j\le\tau-1\}\cup\{i<0\}}$$

representing a generator of $H(CFK^\infty(K))$ of grading 0. However, the image of z in $\widehat{HFK}(K)$ would be an element in $\widehat{HFK}(K)_{\tau-1}$ that represents a generator of $\widehat{HFK}(K)$. But τ is by definition the lowest level at which this can occur. Thus, we see that $\nu = \tau/2$.

To conclude, recall that $\Upsilon_K(t) = -2\nu$, so $\Upsilon_K(t) = -\tau(K)t$, as desired.

□

Note. With care, one can check that in this argument, the condition that t be small can be made precise by requiring that $t < 1/g_3(K)$. Of course, once the result is established for some set of small t, then Theorem 8.2 provides the bound $t < 1/g_3(K)$.

14. Equivalence of definitions of $\Upsilon_K(t)$

To conclude this note, we explain why $\Upsilon_K(t)$ as defined here agrees with that of [8].

In Section 3 of [8], for $t = \frac{m}{n}$, $\Upsilon_K(t)$ in [8] is defined as follows. The construction begins with the $\mathbb{F}[v^{1/n}]$–module $C' = CFK^\infty(K) \otimes_{F[U]} \mathbb{F}[v^{1/n}]$, where U acts on $\mathbb{F}[v^{1/n}]$ via multiplication by v^2. Observe that there are (rational) filtrations Alg and $Alex$ on C' which are consistent with those on the $\mathbb{F}[U]$–submodule $CFK^\infty(K)$. The action of $v^{1/n}$ lowers filtration levels by $\frac{1}{n}$. Thus, $U = v^2$ lowers filtration levels by 2, as it should.

There is a rational grading on $CFK^\infty(K)$ defined via the Maslov grading, M, and Alexander filtration. If x is an element at filtration level (i, j), then:

$$\mathrm{gr}_t(x) = M(x) - t(j - i). \tag{14.1}$$

(In [8], only generators at algebraic filtration level 0 are used to define gr_t, so $i = 0$ and the formula $\mathrm{gr}_t(x) = M(x) - tAlex(x)$ is presented.) One checks that U continues to lower gradings by 2, so on the extension to C', v lowers gradings by 1 and $v^{1/n}$ lowers gradings by $1/n$.

Continuing to follow [8], if x is a filtered generator of $CFK^\infty(K)$ with $\partial x = \sum y_l$, then the boundary ∂_t is explicitly defined so that $\partial_t x = \sum v^{\alpha_l} y_l \in C'$, with the values of α_l given explicitly. This extends naturally to a boundary operator on all of C'.

Given that the operator ∂_t is well-defined, it is a simple matter to determine its value. Suppose that x is a filtered generator of $CFK^\infty(K)$ at filtration level (i, j), Maslov grading g, and suppose also that $\partial x = \sum y_l$. Let y denote one of the terms in this sum, at filtration level (i', j'), necessarily of grading level $g - 1$. Then viewed as an element of C', x is of grading $g - t(j - i)$, and y has grading level $g - 1 - t(j' - i')$. In $\partial_t x$, the term $v^\alpha y$ appears, and α is such that $\mathrm{gr}_t(v^\alpha y) = \mathrm{gr}_t(x) - 1$. Rewriting this, we have $(g - 1) - t(j' - i') - \alpha = g - t(j - i) - 1$. That is,

$$\alpha = t((j - j') - (i - i')). \tag{14.2}$$

As two examples, Figure 2 illustrates the complexes C_t for $K = T(3, 7)$, with $t = \frac{1}{3}$ and $t = 2$. The construction is straightforward using Equation 14.1 and the fact that v shifts along the diagonal a distance of $1/2$ down and to the left. The portion of the complex illustrated was chosen because its homology is $\mathbb{F}$ in grading 0 and represents the generator of the homology of C'_t in grading 0.

It is apparent from these examples that the Alexander filtration is not a filtration of the chain complex, as some arrows increase the Alexander filtration level. However, as is easily verified, the algebraic filtration is a filtration on the chain complex.

Definition 14.1. For $t = \frac{m}{n}$, $\Upsilon_K(t)$ is the maximal grading of a class in the homology of $C'_{t,i\le 0}$ that maps to a nontrivial element in the homology of C'_t.

Lemma 14.2. *The value of $\Upsilon_K(t)$ as just defined is equal to $-2s$, where s is the least number for which the homology of $C'_{t,i\le s}$ contains an element of grading 0 which represents a nontrivial element of the homology of C'_t.*

Proof. This follows from a simple change of coordinates. □

14.1. **The two definitions of $\Upsilon_K(t)$ agree.** Suppose that using this definition of $\Upsilon_K(t)$, we have $\Upsilon_K(t) = -2s$. This implies that $C'_{i\le s}$ contains a cycle z representing a nontrivial generator of grading 0 in the homology of C'_t. Write $z = \sum x_l$, where the x_l are filtered generators. Some x_l has filtration level (s, j), and none of the x_l has algebraic filtration level greater than s.

From the refiltration formula given in Equation 14.1, $\mathrm{gr}_t(x) = M(x) - t(j - i)$, we see that generators of $CFK^\infty(K)$ at filtration level (i, j) and grading 0 yield generators of grading 0 in



FIGURE 2. $C'_{t=1/3}(T(3,7))$ and $C'_{t=2}(T(3,7))$

C'_t at filtration level $(i+\frac{t}{2}(j-i), j+\frac{t}{2}(j-i))$. (Recall that shifting down to the left by t units decreases the grading level by $2t$.) We are thus led to consider the transformation

$$(i,j) \to ((1-\frac{t}{2})i + \frac{t}{2}j, -\frac{t}{2}i + (1+\frac{t}{2})j).$$

Its inverse is given by

$$(i,j) \to ((1+\frac{t}{2})i - \frac{t}{2}j, \frac{t}{2}i + (1-\frac{t}{2})j).$$

Under this transformation, for a fixed value of s, the vertical line $\{(s,z) \mid z \in \mathbf{R}\}$, is carried to the line (in the $CFK^\infty(K)$–plane) $\{((1+\frac{t}{2})s - \frac{t}{2}z, \frac{t}{2}s + (1-\frac{t}{2})z) \mid z \in \mathbf{R}\}$. Relabeling the coordinate system (x,y), this is the line

$$y = (1-\frac{2}{t})x + (\frac{2}{t})s.$$

Comparing with Equation 5.1, we see that the homology of the filtered complex $(CFK^\infty, \mathcal{F}_t)_s$ contains a generator of grading 0 that is nontrivial in the homology of $CFK^\infty(K)$, and that this is not the case for $(CFK^\infty, \mathcal{F}_t)_{s'}$ for any $s' < s$. Thus, the value of $\Upsilon_K(t)$ as defined in Section 5 is $-2s$, and the definitions agree.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Hom and Z. Wu, *Four-ball genus bounds and a refinement of the Ozsváth-Szabó tau-invariant*, `arxiv.org/abs/1401.1565`.

[2] C. Livingston, *Computations of the Ozsváth-Szabó knot concordance invariant*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 735–742.

[3] C. Livingston, *The concordance genus of knots*, Alg. and Geom. Top. **4** (2004), 1–22.

[4] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Absolutely graded Floer homologies and intersection forms for four-manifolds with boundary*, Adv. Math. **173** (2003), 179–261.

[5] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Knot Floer homology and the four-ball genus*, Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 615–639.

[6] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Holomorphic disks and knot invariants*, Adv. Math. **186** (2004), 58–116.

[7] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, *Holomorphic disks and genus bounds*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 311–334.
[8] P. Ozsváth, A. Stipsicz, and Z. Szabó, *Concordance homomorphisms from knot Floer homology*, `arxiv.org/abs/1407.1795`.
[9] J. Rasmussen, *Floer homology and knot complements*, `arxiv.org/abs/math/0306378`.

Charles Livingston: Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405
E-mail address: `livingst@indiana.edu`